

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/753,242		01/08/2004	Jerry Amato	35571	6758
116	7590	09/02/2005		EXAMINER	
PEARNE &			FOX, JOHN C		
SUITE 1200		KEE1	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVELAN	ND, OH	44114-3108	3753	<u> </u>	
				DATE MAILED: 09/02/2009	ς.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_							
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/753,242	AMATO, JERRY				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		John Fox	3753				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE is insorted in the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tee to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 January 2004</u> .  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-42 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) _ is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-42 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner Content of the oath or declaration is objected to be objec	r election requirement.  r.  epted or b)  objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 1/8/04	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/753,242

Art Unit: 3753

The amendment to the specification of January 26, 2004 has been entered, as the original disclosure included fluid actuation which is sufficient to provide support.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 29-32, 36, 38-39, 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Assa et al.

Assa et al show a three way diaphragm valve where 16 is the first port, 14 the second port and 18 the third port, the diaphragm seats to control flow and plunger 60 seats to control flow and is guided by ribs or fins 94.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 40 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Assa et al.

The use of four equidistant ribs 94 is seen to be an obvious matter of design choice.

Application/Control Number: 10/753,242

Art Unit: 3753

Claims 1-7, 10-14, 33-34 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Assa et al in view of Blower et al.

Assa et al show the claimed device except for the transparent feature. Blower et al show a valve for plural fluid flows in which the body and cover can be transparent plastic to allow visual inspection of the interior. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to have made the valve and cover of the Assa et al valve of such transparent plastic to similarly allow for visual inspection of the interior thereof.

Claims 8-9, 15-28, 35 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Assa et al in view of Blower et al and further in view of Morris.

Assa et al, as modified, show the claimed device except Assa et al show nuts and bolts and Blower et al show threads in the plastic body. Morris teaches a plastic housing and cover with inserts or T-nuts 28 to mount a transparent cover 46, see Figure 11. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made for one of ordinary skill in the art to have used such inserts to attach the cover of Assa et al, as modified, to similarly attach the cover. The use of a metal for the T-nuts is seen to be an obvious expedient in view of the well known nature of metal threaded fasteners.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Fox whose telephone number is 571-272-4912. The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flextime.

Application/Control Number: 10/753,242 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Mancene can be reached on 571-272-4930. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3753